

1
2
3
4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8 NORMA PRADO, No. C-13-4536 EMC
9 Plaintiff,
10 v. **ORDER GRANTING
REQUEST FOR CO**
11 QUALITY LOAN SERVICE
CORPORATION, *et al.*,
12 Defendants. **(Docket No. 17)**

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S
REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE**

15 Plaintiff Norma Prado, proceeding pro se, has filed a motion asking that the hearing on two
16 motions to dismiss, currently scheduled for December 12, 2013, be vacated and continued to January
17 23, 2014. Ms. Prado seeks this relief on the basis that she needs additional “time to prepare and file
18 documents because [of] unforeseen, unscheduled travel outside the country.” Mot. at 17.
19 Defendants Aurora Loan Services, LLC and Nationstar Mortgage, LLC have opposed the request.¹
20 Aurora and Nationstar state that they are not adverse to giving Ms. Prado additional time to submit
21 oppositions to the motions (the time for filing oppositions has now passed) but argue that the hearing
22 date should be maintained.

Having considered the papers submitted, the Court hereby **GRANTS** the request for relief. While the Court is not unsympathetic to Aurora and Nationstar's position, a brief delay of six weeks will not unduly prejudice them. Accordingly, the Court hereby orders as follows.

28

¹ Defendant Quality Loan Service Corporation has not weighed in on the matter.

1 Ms. Prado shall file her oppositions to the two motions to dismiss (Aurora/Nationstar's
2 motion *and* Quality Loan's motion) by **December 16, 2013**. Aurora/Nationstar and Quality Loan
3 shall then have until **December 23, 2013**, to file their reply briefs. The hearing on the motions shall
4 be continued to **January 23, 2014, at 1:30 p.m.**

5 This order disposes of Docket No. 17.

6
7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8
9 Dated: November 18, 2013

10
11 
12 EDWARD M. CHEN
13 United States District Judge